UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/677,467	10/02/2003	Philippe Bazot	FR920020066US1	8489
45095 HOFFMAN W.	7590 06/22/200 ARNICK LLC	EXAMINER		
75 STATE ST 14 FL		CHRISTENSEN, SCOTT B		
ALBANY, NY	12207	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2444	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/22/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PTOCommunications@hoffmanwarnick.com

		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/677,4	67	BAZOT ET AL.		
		Examine	r	Art Unit		
		Scott Chi	ristensen	2444		
The MAILING Period for Reply	DATE of this communic	cation appears on th	e cover sheet with th	ne correspondence a	ddress	
A SHORTENED STA WHICHEVER IS LO - Extensions of time may be after SIX (6) MONTHS fro - If NO period for reply is sp - Failure to reply within the Any reply received by the	ATUTORY PERIOD FO NGER, FROM THE MA available under the provisions on the mailing date of this commu- ecified above, the maximum stat set or extended period for reply vo Office later than three months af- ment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DATE OF T of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e unication. tutory period will apply and v vill, by statute, cause the ap	HIS COMMUNICAT vent, however, may a reply built expire SIX (6) MONTHS plication to become ABANDO	ION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this of the control of the contro		
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to 2a)⊠ This action is l 3)⊡ Since this app	communication(s) filed FINAL. 2 lication is in condition for	b)⊡ This action is or allowance excep	t for formal matters,		e merits is	
Disposition of Claims						
4a) Of the above 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>2 and</u> 7) ☐ Claim(s)		e withdrawn from co				
<u> </u>	: -: 4- -: 4 -	Evenine				
10) The drawing(s) Applicant may n Replacement dr	on is objected to by the filed on is/are: ot request that any objection awing sheet(s) including claration is objected to	a) accepted or b tion to the drawing(s) the correction is requi	be held in abeyance. red if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). sobjected to. See 37 C		
Priority under 35 U.S.C	c. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
	Patent Drawing Review (P7 Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	ГО-948)	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Ma 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:			

Art Unit: 2444

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office Action is in regards to the most recent papers filed on 5/8/2009.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed 5/8/2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

3. Applicant's sole argument is presented on pages 4-5 of Applicant's response. Specifically, Applicant argues, "The Office previously admitted to Brown not teaching this feature in rejecting claim 2."

First, it is noted that the Office Action from 2/15/2008 stated, "However Brown et al. do not explicitly disclose, reverse proxy." Thus, the Office Action clearly interpreted Brown as not disclosing the term "reverse proxy," where the remaining functionality that was associated with the term "reverse proxy" was stated to have been disclosed by Brown.

Then, in the Office Action dated 12/9/2008, the current Examiner, upon reviewing the action of the previous Examiner, found that the term "reverse proxy" was afforded weight that the term was not entitled to, for reasons that are detailed in the Office Action from 12/9/2008, in the two sentences of page 3 and the first sentence of page 4. Thus, the position of the Office has been made clear, and the error in the previous Office Action has been noted.

Art Unit: 2444

Further, this argument is moot, as Applicant has removed the language "reverse proxy" from the instant claims, meaning that the sole reason that claim 2 was rejected under 35 USC 103 in the Office Action dated 2/15/2008 was removed from the claims.

Lacking any arguments touching upon the merits of the claims with respect to Brown, the rejection of the instant claims under 35 USC 102 has been maintained.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 5. Claims 1, 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Brown et al. (US Patent NO. 6970918B2 here after "Brown et al.").
- 6. The applied reference has a common assignee with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

Art Unit: 2444

7. Regarding Claim 1 Brown et al. disclose, Method of accessing Internet resources provided by at least a content server (col. 3, line 51 & Fig 2a, 16) in a data transmission system including a proxy (col. 3, line 55 & Fig 2a, 11) connected to an Internet network (col. 3, line 50 & Fig 2a, 14), said proxy being provided with authentication means (col. 4, line 23 & Fig 3, 20) for authenticating a user when receiving a request for Internet resources (col. 4, line 18) therefrom, and wherein said proxy transmits the user request to said content server (col. 4, lines 3-8 & 29-30) which sends back a response to the proxy together with at least one cookie containing information about said user (col. 4, lines 30-32);

said proxy receiving said response over the internet network (col. 3, Lines 49-51 & Fig 2a, 14) and storing said response in a user context database (col. 4, lines 32-33 & Fig 3, User Database 22) and transmitting said response to said user (col. 6, line 37) over the Internet network (col. 4, lines 11-15) after said cookie has been removed from said response (col. 6, lines 29-31), so that said user can send all subsequent requests for accessing said Internet resources contained in said content server to said proxy (col. 7, lines 36-41) over the Internet network (col. 4, lines 11-15), wherein said cookie which has been stored in said user context database is added to all subsequent requests from said user for accessing Internet resources in said content server (col. 5, lines 17-27), wherein said proxy is configured to establish a connection to said content server on behalf of said user when receiving said request from said user, and wherein said cookie is transmitted by said configured proxy to said content server when said user sends

Art Unit: 2444

subsequent requests for the URL of the said content server, even if said content server does not belong in the said proxy server's domain (Figure 2a).

8. Regarding Claim 4 Brown et al. disclose, Method according to claim 1, wherein the response from said content server to said proxy includes a statement "set-cookies," said statement being removed from said response (col. 6, lines 29-31) before transmitting said response to said user (col. 6, line 37).

Art Unit: 2444

Conclusion

9. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott Christensen whose telephone number is (571)270-1144. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday 6:30AM - 4:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William Vaughn can be reached on (571) 272-3922. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/677,467

Page 7

Art Unit: 2444

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/S. C./ Examiner, Art Unit 2444 /William C. Vaughn, Jr./

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2444